



Scott Township Regular Meeting

Scott Township

Tuesday, June 23, 2026 at 7:30 PM EDT

301 Lindsay Rd, Carnegie, Pa 15106 and Zoom

Call to Order, Moment of Prayer, and Pledge of Allegiance

Ms. Altman called the meeting to order with a prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

Roll Call: Donald Guidici - Present

Eileen Meyers - Present

Thomas Castello, Esq. - Present

Nick Seibel, Vice President - Present

Jane Sorcan - Present

Samuel Dalfonso, Esq. - Present via Zoom

Michelle Sedlak - Present via Zoom

Kathleen Gazda - Present

Stacey Altman, President - Present

Also Present: Denise Fitzgerald, Township Manager

John Bojarski, Assistant Township Manager (Operations)

Karla McGill, Assistant Township Manager (Finance) - Present via Zoom

Marie Hartman, Engineer

John Vogel, Esq., Solicitor

Mark Mox, Planning, Zoning, and Enforcement Officer

Tom Kelley, Director of Public Services - Present via Zoom

Matthew Podsiadly, Chief of Police

Kevin Brett, Managing Principal, LSSE

Michael Rudolph, Administrative Assistant

Motion to approve

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve the agenda as submitted. Motioned by Mr. Castello, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Public comments on agenda

There were no public comments.

N Wren Storm Project Update

Mr. Altman stated Mr. Brett would make a statement regarding the N Wren Storm project update and that those from the public present would get a chance to speak. Mr. Brett stated, "Good evening, everybody. The township has received a number of inquiries about items such as access in and out, schedule for the project, when the contractor is going to start, who the contact information is, how the public's going to be notified of things. So I was going to run through just a couple of cleanup items so that everybody's on the same page, and residents get more information than they've had to date. So, the contractor's gonna be light mobilizing over

the next two weeks, which means there'll be videotaping, documenting the properties that are impacted. I'll also be doing some field reviews. They had some soft digs to do in people's properties to locate existing utilities, laterals from their properties, and then sometime about the middle of July, they indicated today they would be mobilizing and starting construction. The township's gonna post that weekly update on the website so the residents can go there and see what the changes are. This is in Grouse at the low point where the catch basins are. This location impacts about 6 houses with construction as far as in their yards and driveways. But there's a lot of property owners beyond that who are concerned about access on Grouse because it's a dead-end street. The contractor assured today, I believe this to be true. They will always maintain access, one lane, flaggers. Emergency vehicles will always have access if something happens, and they're digging across and across the street, they'll plate it, and emergency vehicles will be able to get through."

Mr. Brett stated, "residents may get a delay because of equipment moving back and forth. But for the contractor, 15 minutes is the time frame maximum they can have. This contractor has worked very well in the communities and at communicating well with the residents. There could always be a hiccup, but we do have a positive experience, not only in the township, but other communities when they work there with them, communicating with residents. So, during construction, it'll be limited disruption just because it is a construction site. Parking will be provided for the residents that are impacted. We discussed today the contractor owes the MPT plan on how they're going to flag in their sequence. On that plan, so police are aware of whose cars are going to be parked on the roadway. We'll designate areas where they will do that to work with the township to approve those."

Mr. Brett stated they provided residents with contact information for LSSE's representatives, the contractor and the township. Mr. Brett stated this would be documented again.

Mr. Brett stated, "we'll give them a written response today to all their questions. If there's more questions, they can come up. Any questions from the board?" Ms. Sedlak stated, "You said that the contractor will update the township weekly, and that will be placed on our website. Will that be in a format that residents can understand it?" Mr. Brett stated, "I'll try to make it so that it is on a one-page format." Mr. Brett stated it would be easily readable.

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "I also wanted to clarify some things that was sent out to some of the residents, not from the township, it was from a concerned citizen. The township is not condemning anyone's homes for this project, and this is initially just on Grouse. This is phase one." Mr. Brett stated, "yes. It's a limited area. Hopefully our answers today will be syndicated answers to those questions so that there's no confusion to the public." Mr. Seibel stated, "did we review at all the reasons and causes on why this project?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "We did go over that as well, with the flooding that we had on Raven."

Ms. Altman stated, "if anyone from the public would like to make a comment, just come on up, state your name and address for the public record, and then sign in for us, and you will be timed for 3 minutes."

Shannon Yanko of 1149 Grouse Drive stated, "I am the house that we were just talking about. A letter was mailed to me that had in regards to the neighbors that they're not condemning any houses. Well, we bought our house about five and a half years ago, and there is a letter in my hands that was brought to us to negotiate and try to acquire our property. In the letter, it tells you, 'after careful engineering review and planning, the township has determined that the successful completion of this project will require the acquisition of certain properties,' which would be my property. So we can say it's not being condemned, but please make it note that there are, there is a possibility that my house can be taken by eminent domain." Ms. Fitzgerald, Ms. Altman and Mr. Vogel all stated no. Ms. Altman stated, "We were offering to purchase it. You say, 'no, it's done' and we have to figure out something else." Ms. Yanko stated, "I would like it to be noted that there was a letter that went out, stating that you need to require this to start Phase 2 of the project."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "in Phase 2, that's whenever the project specifically for your house would have taken place. However, if you are not interested in selling the property, then we will continue with the project. We will just take it a different area, different location." Ms. Yanko stated, "well, I just want it on note that this letter did come to me and there is, in fact, a letter that most people were told didn't exist."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "just to be clear, we did not send you a letter to condemn your home." Ms. Altman stated, "or take it by eminent domain. This was an offer; this wasn't a demand. This wasn't us trying to take your home. I am sorry if you felt that way, or took it that way. That was never the intention"

Ms. Yanko stated, "That was how we felt. Nobody has discussed this with us. I was told on June 2nd by Ms. Hartman that they were drilling. I then received this letter, it's dated June 1st, in my mail on June 12th. if any of you were to receive this letter, you would have been terrified that your house was being taken for a project." Ms. Altman stated, "well, we apologize for that." Ms. Yanko stated, "this project has had no communication. We have more than 80 houses on Grouse. Nobody knows what's going on. The engineer was the first person today to be completely honest with us, in a meeting that only had 6 houses. All of these families, plus more, that are probably at the school board meeting, are being affected. You need to communicate. You are here for us as residents to provide things for us that we need. So it needs to be discussed with your wards and with the people that you're trying to make better. This community is not being made better. It's quite honestly scaring the crap out of us, and I'm sure anyone back there will confirm that. We have a street with one way in, one way out. The construction crew was great today explaining things, but the road is going down to one lane that you can be stuck on the road for fifteen minutes if there's an emergency. I mean, they were great at explaining it, but they didn't explain it to everybody else."

Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we do have the design on our website now if anyone would like to look at it." Ms. Yanko stated, "a normal resident cannot read that."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "did you reach out to Mr. Giudici?" Ms. Yanko stated, "I apologize, I thought it was you, I want to say. One of you we put on the address. You're very hard to figure out where we're supposed to be. Then one of our neighbors, Bill, I don't know his last name, did call you. And he said, to my understanding, to my understanding, and nobody understands what's really going on."

Mr. Giudici stated, "well just on my end. I didn't become commissioner of Ward 8 until January 1st. So, all this transposed prior to me. I was a commissioner in Ward 9 for eight years, but that was at the beginning of when these discussions took place with the additions to St. Clair Hospital. So up until I get a phone call from a resident about a letter that was sent out. I assumed it came from the township. So I began requesting the information and found out it was a resident letter, got a copy of that letter, read it, and it was quite scary. I'm not up with all the information. I am today. We had about an hour meeting before this. I've got all the information now, I understand it. The questions and answers that were posed to the six residents today. Hopefully the township will mail that out to all residents on Grouse Drive. I think that would be good information for all the neighbors, even the ones that aren't affected."

Ms. Yanko stated, "it should go to all of Birdland." Mr. Giudici stated, "right. Well, I don't know about all of Birdland but at least all..." Ms. Yanko stated, "It affects every person from the top of that hillside all the way down. There is going to be construction, there are children that play on our street, it is a dead end. There's going to be construction everywhere, and every person from the top to the hospital to the bottom of Scrubgrass is involved in this project. You can tell us that we're not, that only affects 6 houses. Again, it affects all of us. It affects Raven when they are coming down. It affects all of the houses all the way up. You're all shaking your heads saying, no, it doesn't need to go to them. Well, they should be alerted to the activities that are happening. Phase 2 and Phase 3 haven't started, because you don't have grant money for it, but they're going to happen, and these things need to be addressed prior to the work being done."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "I have a quick question from Marie. What's the purpose of this project again? Can you give a scope on the reason we're doing this? Is it for the betterment of the community and prevent flooding?" Ms. Yanko stated, "In Scott Township, we heard this today. Not in Birdland, in Scott Township."

Ms. Hartman stated, "To answer your question, flooding complaints in that area, specific to Raven, there was some work done there. Project is being done to convey stormwater through the area down to Scrubgrass, concurrent with the storm sewer improvements, which will be an upsize, is replacing the sanitary sewer with the same size pipe, because the existing pipe is old, full of roots, cracked. We have issues with it. I had asked the guys to camera it. Several sections we can't get the camera through. So, they're both in the same trench, they were both installed at the same time, so while you're doing the storm, you're also doing the sanitary. If you look at the original design, those lines parallel each other, and they cross, not only up and down, they'll cross left to right through that whole area, from Scrubgrass up to the hospital. So, the area comes from the hospital and down through the yard. As we talked, Phase 1 stops at Grouse,

and then future phases will be beyond that. As mentioned, we will apply for grants, and whether it's done as one phase after this or two phases after this depends on what kind of grant we may or may not get."

Ms. Yanko stated, "again, we are not saying that this project shouldn't be done. We're saying we, as the homeowners in the community, should be alerted to what is being done. We understand why you're doing this. We're not saying you shouldn't. I'm saying that you should let us know what is happening to where we live." Ms. Sedlak stated, "and what's the original date on the letter that you received?" Ms. Yanko stated, "June 1, 2026." Ms. Yanko stated, "you said five years ago." Ms. Yanko stated, "that would be at home. I don't have that one with me. We purchased our house February 19th of 2021. The project was happening before that. Unfortunately, the seller did not disclose to us this was happening. We found out through neighbors, and we were alerted that way."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "so they withheld information from you, the buyer?" Ms. Yanko stated, "The buyer, unfortunately, had dementia, and was buying through a POA, and she was the one communicating with Mr. Kelley, and so we did not get the information that this would be happening to our property. I should have brought all of my letters, I apologize that I didn't. But we've been communicated with off and on for the last 5 years." Ms. Sedlak stated, "from the township?" Ms. Yanko stated, "yes, but we would hear in about two-year gaps that things were moving, and then they would stop, and we'd hear no more information. We got a letter May 22nd that invited us to come in to meet with Ms. Hartman and Mr. Kelley. Myself and four neighbors got the letters, not the entire street, just five houses received the letters to come in here. And that's when on June 2nd, I was told that they were drilling between my houses, I had nothing to worry about, I wasn't even part of Phase 1. And then I got this letter on June 12th. The dates don't add up."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "well it was mailed. We're not responsible for the USPS anymore. So I apologize about that, but communication is a two-way street, and I welcome all phone calls." Ms. Yanko stated, "So, when things are paused, and there's nothing to communicate, we don't hear anything, but then we're given two weeks' notice. But it's only a select few. It's not everybody involved. Like, this is actually affecting the entire neighborhood. We live on a one-way street." Ms. Sedlak stated she had several one way streets in her ward and understood. Ms. Yanko stated, "one way in, one way out I apologize."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "one way in, one way out. I apologize. No cul-de-sac."

Jamie Gasployne of 1156 Grouse Drive stated, "just to continue what Shannon said, there's no communication for us with this. In my household, we have been planning for the last year and a half in budgeting for a lot of major home repairs. So now, as we are scheduling with them, and I'm getting ready to write tens of thousands of dollars in checks to have windows replaced, a porch replaced, retaining walls fixed. Now I find out that in several weeks, they're not going to be able to even get down our street, and then we find out later after I reach out to Mr. Giudici that this project goes until November. So, these minor delays are not small inconveniences. I

know they say they're a minor delay. A slight pause in traffic. When you're a dead-end street, and you have, what, 40, 50 kids on our street that come to the bus stop, some of them are very small. Their parents drive them or walk with them. How are we going to safely get our kids to the bus when we're down to one lane of traffic that's already going to have delays? People are trying to go to work on time. Not to mention, those of us who work from home, we now have the issue of the noise that this is going to cause and the repercussions from our workplaces when you're unable to take calls uninterrupted. We don't know if we're going to have shut-offs temporarily in the water throughout the day when we're home. Some of the people on our street are elderly. Like, why are none of these things being communicated to us? We're not finding things out in a timely manner and it doesn't seem like anybody that we reach out to has a complete picture that they can give us these answers. So, who do we turn to to find the answers to these questions?"

Ms. Altman stated, "All I can say is that they will be in communication once the project starts. If you have questions or issues with getting deliveries to your home, I'm sure someone can help with that." Ms. Gasployne stated, "there are large vehicles, replacing all the windows in my house. Tearing my porch down. So, how are they going to be able to get down the road?" Ms. Altman stated, "I'm sure accommodations could be made." Ms. Gasployne stated, "if we have a medical emergency, we're supposed to wait 15 minutes for them to put a steel plate down for them to be able to get the ambulance or the fire truck? We just had a huge, major fire at the beginning of the street several years ago."

Mr. Brett stated, "let me address the traffic control by the contractor so that the misinformation stops. So, if there's an emergency vehicle comes, immediately, the steel plate will go on. The maximum that you can be held up by law is 15 minutes. I'm going to drive home tonight. I'm going to be on the parkway. The paving is going to stop me. I have 15 minutes to sit there. When they open it back up, I keep going. Back on the State Highway that's 3 lanes wide on both sides. That's real, that's reality, that's the law in Pennsylvania. It is untrue that if something happens and somebody needs in there, that you're not going to have access. That information needs to stop and stop being said. It's disingenuous, and it's incorrect. The contractors contract says that if somebody has to get in, and they have to fill the hole with it, and let everybody drive through, that's what they do. So, I've heard that a number of times today. It's factually incorrect. Secondly, when trucks have to go back and forth, and you have access and contractors, we've said repeatedly today, and tonight, I reported that the contractor will coordinate with the residents, and if you have something getting delivered, he will coordinate with you and get it through. This is a very good contractor that does a really good job making sure residents are accommodated. If a contractor pulls up and beeps his horn because they have to move a piece of equipment, he's probably not going to get out of the way in 30 seconds. But they are very sensitive to the residents and making sure people can get back and forth. So, that is what the expectation is from the commissioners from us as the engineering firm and of the contractor. He wants to be mindful of everybody getting it out. They understand it's a one way in and out road. It's a difficult road. People have to be reasonable, too, but I don't want somebody saying that if an emergency vehicle comes, that

they're not going to get into the road. That is factually incorrect. They will make it happen. They're not going to put somebody in jeopardy."

Mr. Brett stated, "as far as the kids getting back and forth to school, again, they have to make sure that those things happen. They will accommodate for that. I've had areas that had very, very restrictive things, and the contractor has had to do it." In regards to children walking up the street, Mr. Brett stated, "they have to follow all the OSHA regulations. They will make sure that that corridor is safe for people to go through." Mr. Brett stated flaggers were responsible for vehicular traffic and pedestrian traffic, and that "they have to make sure that people don't go where they're not allowed to go or can't go. So when school is in, if the trenches aren't repaired by then, they will make sure that they have access through. Those types of things have to happen on every construction site. They're regulated by state regulations. It's not something that we make up job-to-job. It's what has to happen, period, in the state. Like, leaving a hole open. Somebody said they're gonna leave holes open overnight. If they don't fence it, they have a 24-7 number they can call. They have to come out and take care of something that somebody missed. And if you see something like that, let the township know."

Mr. Brett stated, "the contractor doesn't want that either. He doesn't want anybody getting hurt on the job. I mean, that's the worst thing in the world for him as a contractor. So as far as the traffic control, they have to do it, the police have to review it, and it's a rigid process to go through. This is a small work area compared to most, the impact on the roadway isn't that long, but he still has to do it."

With work hours, Mr. Brett stated, "it's typically 7 to 7 that they work. A lot of contractors work tens, four tens because of the weather. From your behalf, he indicated today that if there's weekend work, they have to request for it to happen on a Saturday. If we have really bad stretch of weather, they will ask that they can work some Saturdays, because they want to be done in their neighborhood as fast as possible. So we try to make sure they get a full 40 hours a week in. Sometimes they'll work five tens in order to get more work done faster. Those guys like to get in and out. They like to get in and get their work done and move onto the next job, so if there's an accommodation schedule, we'll make sure the residents understand that the contractor may be working."

Mr. Brett stated one lane would always be open during the construction, and two lanes would be open every night. Mr. Brett stated both lanes would be closed "only when they're crossing the road. Other than that, they may be working alongside the road, and they'll just be flagging. There's only 3 ditches that go across the road, period." Mr. Brett stated a temporary cold patch would be placed so that the road could settle.

Mr. Brett stated the work would start at the woods and work up Grouse, with the contractor coordinating with residents for temporary parking measures when they would be working near their homes. Ms. Hartman stated, "part of the consideration for this job was to get it done when school was not in session."

Cynthia Westcott of 1311 Grouse Drive stated, "our biggest concern, because we live towards the end of the street is that because our street is so narrow. A lot of trucks, I don't know how big these trucks are coming on the street, think that they have a cul-de-sac that they can turn around. So a lot of times, everybody goes all the way at the end, and I'm like second house in from the left, and I know Susan and Steve over there are at the last house, and a lot of times, these trucks are turning around in our property, and they'll hit, like, my tree, it's taken a couple branches. I know our neighbor, he has three girls, and they all have cars, so they're not in the driveway, so that limits the street even more towards the end, and these drivers don't know, and they're turning around in our driveway."

Ms. Wescott stated, "is there going to be a police officer monitoring this? I have a daughter who's 5 years old, and we have dogs. A lot of times, some of these trucks, these drivers have no consideration, and they're driving down super fast. Also, the garbage truck, I mean you mention that they have to do like a 5k turn, and it's no room down there. And then when school starts, I don't know if you've ever seen that bus stop. Well, now, there's a lot of kids on the street that have moved in in the last couple years. I just moved in on a street 3 years ago. Both sides, you can barely fit. I work in a hospital. I work Saturdays, and sometimes I take calls on Saturday, and I only have 30 minutes to get in. I'm sorry, I need to get the hell out of there. I understand, he said 15-minute law, but I don't have 15-minute when I have to go bust through there and get into the operating room in less than 30 minutes."

Tara Moore of 1250 Grouse Drive stated, "I echo most of what all my neighbors have said, and I thank you all for meeting with us. I think a lot of what's been brought up is communication. I think I heard someone say, you know, once the project's set, we'll communicate, like, then we'll let everyone know, and I think as a project manager myself that is not the way to do it. You work with the community to create a project plan, so there is less issues, as we're having now. I don't know how long, I've been at my house for over 16 years. When was funding awarded for this?" Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "we received three different funding sources." Ms. Moore stated, "is it true that construction has to begin before the end of July or we lose funding?"

Ms. Hartman stated, "There is a spend date on the one grant, I believe it's September 30th, that we have to spend the one grant down. We have two grants, and a contribution from St. Clair Hospital, so there's free funding sources for the project." Ms. Moore stated, "and so you will lose funding if we don't start by the end of July, because you can't complete it by September 30th." Ms. Hartman stated, "we will lose funding if we can't spend the funding by September 30th. So there's 3 funding sources for the project, so obviously the one that's got the most critical timeline will get spent on first. So once the contractor payment requests are coming in, those will go against the first grant, and that will be a reimbursement request submitted. The quicker the construction starts, the safer we'll be in terms of meeting the funding requirements."

Ms. Moore stated, "So, we've received multiple funding for this project. Why are we now starting? It's been years. As a resident, everyone's known about the easement, or the sewer project. Funding has been acquired for multiple years. I've worked in grant funding. You usually

have to send a progress report or an annual report to report on what you're doing. Why has nothing been accomplished? And only now is this being dealt with when you're in a time crunch? I believe it was December where requests for bids were sent out. From a project management standpoint and communication with the community, it would have been really helpful, and helpful moving forward, in that, 'hey, it's not starting next week. It's starting on September 1st and this is what our plans are,' so then you can get input from the community members, whether it's Grouse or all of Birdland. My final question is, my understanding is most of this is due to the hospital, most of the flooding issues. Why are there not other drill paths? Since they have caused the bulk of this flooding."

Mr. Brett stated, "The system was built in 1956. It starts at the upper reaches near the hospital. The sewers were built on them, as Marie indicated. This project is to upsize starting at the bottom, and work all the way to the top. The hospital was gracious enough to produce some funding to help offset some costs. The sanitary sewer is 70 years old. It needs repaired. There has been flooding on Raven, and this project addresses that, plus any concerns with the capacity of the existing system, which is an 18-inch pipe at the bottom. It needs to be a 36-inch pipe to convey the stormwater."

Mr. Brett stated, "The question on 'why the timing?'. So, 2018, the project starts. Between then and March of 2025, easements were being acquired and some additional work that we had to do on the design side after we knew all the easements had been acquired. We did soft digs in the fall. Got the final information on those soft digs, the project was put out to bid. It then came in double from the original estimates from 2019. The, because of funding constraints, the project was bid for \$1 million dollars. So, in a period of less than a year, the township bid the project twice, did their soft digs, have opened awarded bids, and we're at the juncture now where we're wanting construction on Phase 1. So yes, it has been 6 years, but unfortunately, we had about 13 people that did not cooperate on this list, Marie can correct me if I'm wrong, that we had to fight to get the easements. I gave an example in the earlier meeting. If I have 100 easements and all 100 people operate, I'm out to bid in 60 days, and we don't have these issues. When things get delayed in folks do not provide the easements necessary on something that was built in 1956, then it delays things for, obviously, 5 years."

Mr. Seibel stated, "and that was mainly because, as I understand it, the easement was only for the width of the pipe, not the width needed to replace it." Mr. Ledbetter stated, "two pipes and as Marie indicated, 10 feet, so very very tight." Ms. Hartman stated, "the easements were very close to the houses, and the one particular house is on top of the storm line. So we had to get wider easements, which is what delayed us in advancing the project. The design essentially has not changed since 2020 when it was at the 80% complete stage. We couldn't complete the design until all the easements were obtained in case there had to be a change. So once the easements were obtained, we did the final design, as Kevin said, we did some soft digs to get utility elevations to confirm everything. It was immediately out to bid thereafter."

Mr. Seibel stated, "and then my other question, and this pre-dates me being on the board as well, the hospital was required to put in stormwater management as well. So what they

contributed to this project is over and above what they were required to do when they built, correct?" Ms. Hartman stated, "that is correct."

Susan McGuire of 1314 Grouse stated, "I've lived there for almost 21 years and this is the first time I've even heard of this project. So again, just kind of frustrated when it comes to the communication part." Ms. McGuire highlighted the same concerns with a cul-de-sac not being at the end of the street and the difficulty of turning around at the end of the street. Ms. McGuire stated, "The only other concern is if you know my house, it is the last house on the street on 1314. It's on the right-hand side. Back in the 1950s, 60s, there was some land issues with my house. I've been told they were gonna basically tear my house down because of land problems, and insecurity because of the fill that they use that Ryan was filling in, and the way my house was built. So I guess I get concerned a little bit, too, when you guys are saying that you're going into the hillside, and even though we are the very end of the street, what kind of disruption that may also have on the land just for those houses."

Motion to approve

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve the following meeting minutes:

May 12, 2026

May 26, 2026

June 9, 2026

Motioned by Mr. Castello, seconded by Ms. Sedlak

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Motion to approve

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve the Bill List and authorize payment of disbursements from the General Fund in the amount of \$1,904,665.97. Motioned by Ms. Sedlak, seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Planning, Zoning, and Code Enforcement

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve the Alsoud Plan of Lots Subdivision Plan to divide one lot into two, as recommended by the Planning Commission, subject to satisfying the comments of the Township Engineer Review letter, dated May 26, 2026, and the following additional conditions:

1. Add a note to the Subdivision Plan that specifies that the existing 15ft x 15ft canopy and the vinyl/wooden shed are to be razed on parcel 2.
2. Show the tributary stream on the Subdivision Plan.
3. Execute and record a Maintenance Agreement for the common access drive that will be shared by the two proposed lots. The Agreement must be recorded prior to final execution of the subdivision plan by the Township. A note shall be added to the Subdivision Plan that acknowledges the Maintenance Agreement and specifies the recorded book and page numbers.

Motioned by Ms. Sorcan, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve advertisement of an ordinance to regulate Portable Storage Containers as recommended by the Planning Commission. Ms. Sedlak motioned to table the ordinance. Seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Meyers stated it needs to be revisited.

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve appointing Joe Orbovich to the Planning Commission for an additional term, to expire August 1, 2030. Motioned by Mr. Dalfonso, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Solicitor's Report

Mr. Vogel stated he did not have any updates.

Engineer's Report

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to approve Partial Payment No. 2 in the amount of \$300,533.73 submitted by Cronin Enterprises Civil and Rail Division, LLC for the C-53 Phase II COA Source Flow Reduction and Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project. Payment to be made from Bond Fund #18; 45-day payment date is July 25, 2026. Motioned by Mr. Seibel, seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

6/23/26 AM

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to approve authorization to prepare Contract Documents and Bidding of the 2026 Sanitary Sewer System Cleaning / CCTV Project (Contract 26-52). PA Small Water and Sewer Grant awarded in the amount of \$150,000. Motioned by Mr. Castello, seconded by Ms. Meyers.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to award the 2024 Stormwater Improvements Landscaping to Cronin Enterprises Civil & Rail Division, LLC in the amount of \$24,450.00 based upon their proposal submitted. Ms. Hartman stated Cronin was the only one that submitted a bid.

Mr. Seibel stated, "why are we landscaping for 2024?" Ms. Hartman stated, "That is on the PRP project. That is additional landscaping based upon some resident requests and so forth." Motioned by Mr. Castello, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to approve Change Order No. 2 (Final) submitted by El Grande Industries, Inc. in the net deduct amount of \$3,393.69 for the 2025 Roadway Improvement Program (Contract No. 25-R01). Change Order No. 2 adjusts the contract amount to include line striping on Carothers Avenue (\$25,328.00 Increase) and adjusts the contract amount to reflect final in-place quantities (\$28,718.69 Decrease); for a net decrease of \$3,393.69. Motioned by Ms. Meyers, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to approve Partial Payment No. 3 (Final) submitted by El Grande Industries, Inc. in the amount of \$79,865.34 for the 2025 Roadway Improvement Program (Contract No. 25-R01). The 45-day payment date is July 18, 2026. Motioned by Ms. Meyers, seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

6/23/26 AM

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to approve Preparation and Submission of a PennDOT TSP Permit Application for installation of mast arm mounted Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacons (RRFB) at existing pedestrian crosswalks at Greentree Road / Ryan Drive and Greentree Road / Green Oak Drive; approval to prepare and submit an ARLE Grant Application in support of this project. Motioned by Ms. Sedlak, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated, "the ARLE grant application is due at the end of June, June 30th. I have a couple documents for the manager to sign in support of submission of that application. One is the Worker Protection and Investment Certification Form. It's the same form we signed for all of these types of applications, and the funding commitment. So, the grant is going in for 80% of the project. Scott Township would have up to a 20% match. That would be \$40,250."

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to award Contract 26-R02 Scott Park Pedestrian Improvements Project - Base Bid - in the amount of \$492,675.00 to Independent Enterprises, Inc. Multimodal Transportation Fund (MTF) Grant awarded in the amount of \$250,000. Motioned by Ms. Meyers, seconded by Ms. Sorcan.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to reject bids received for Contract No. 25-R1 Municipal Building Roof Replacement (Administration Building) – Base Bid, Add Alternate No. A1 and Add Alternate No. A2. Project to be rebid February 2027. Motioned by Ms. Gazda, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to reject bids received for Contract No. 26-R03 Lindsay Road Roadway Improvements (Phase 3). Project to be rebid February 2027. Motioned by Ms. Sorcan, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Hartman stated she needed a motion to approve Change Order No. 2 in the amount of \$77,904.00 submitted by Youngblood Paving Inc. for Contract No. 26-R01- 2026 Roadway Improvement Program to include additional paving along Fieldway Drive in Scott Township Park. Motioned by Ms. Meyers, seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Standing Committee Report – Finance

Mr. Castello motioned to approve an allotment in the amount of \$48,094 for East Carnegie Volunteer Fire Department as part of the first payment of the 2026 budget allocation. Seconded by Ms. Gazda.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Standing Committee Report – Public Safety

Mr. Gazda motioned to ratify approval of medical helicopter landing in the large ball field at Scott Park on June 20 as part of Public Safety Day. Seconded by Ms. Meyers.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Gazda motioned to approve installing 4 inch yellow thermoplastic pavement markings along Kerr Road in the amount of \$3,500 to PLP Co. Seconded by Ms. Sorcan.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Gazda motioned to approve Resolution 1125-26 to prohibit parking on the entirety of Spikenard Street on both the east and west sides from Magazine Street extending south to Locust Street and on the cul-de-sacs on Orchard Spring Road on the south side encompassing the addresses of 29 through 39 and on the north side encompassing addresses 124 through 132. This parking prohibition shall be on Mondays from 6:00 AM to 4:00 PM to allow for the unobstructed collection of refuse. Seconded by Mr. Seibel.

Ms. Meyers stated, "for Spiknard, it only had to go in one or two houses for them to make the bend. I can't prohibit all the parking on the street." Ms. Fitzgerald stated, "it's from Magazine extending south to Locust Street." Ms. Meyers stated, "that's an entire length of one of the streets, a block. They don't need to take the whole block out, they only need to take out

enough so that the truck can make the circumference around the bend. It's only the first house or two they can't park. We did it in another alley where it said from here to corner. That's what I requested."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "I'm reading the ordinance, and 29 through 39 and 124 through 132 sounds exactly like what you said." Mr. Seibel and Mr. Castello stated that was Orchard Spring.

Mr. Castello stated, "both of you, have you talked to the residents? They're okay with not being able to park?" Mr. Seibel stated a letter was sent to Orchard Spring and no responses have been received.

Mr. Seibel stated, "do we want to table this, get the letters out? Let's table this and put it on for next month." Ms. Meyer motioned to table. Seconded by Ms. Sedlak.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Standing Committee Report – Public Works

Mr. Seibel motioned to approve the quote from TUDI Mechanical Systems for the replacement of rooftop HVAC units 4 and 5 on top of the administration building. The quoted cost for the replacement of both units is \$30,980.00. The quoted cost to replace unit 4 only (which is currently in need of active repairs) is \$17,980.00 to be coordination with the roof installation. Seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Mr. Seibel motioned to approve the easement request submitted by Peoples Gas to locate a regulator station off Scrubgrass Road near its intersection with Swallow Hill Road on a parcel owned by the township. Seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Environmental Committee (Sewer Committee and MS4 Updates)

Ms. Sorcan stated the township completed a form that was requested by Penn State Local Communities Action Plan to start the program and get Penn State the information from our utility companies to work on the plan. Ms. Sorcan stated, "I'm sure the EAC is very thankful to Denise, who provided the information, and to John, who worked with Penn State on a similar plan in McCandless. He will be an asset to us, I'm sure."

Ms. Sorcan stated there would be a kickoff to the event on July 9th from noon to 1:00 PM.

Parks, Recreation and Public Relations

Ms. Meyers motioned to ratify hiring of the below individuals as lifeguards at the rate listed for the 2026 season.

- Lleyton Fox at \$15.50/hour
- Joshua Grimenstein at \$16.00/hour
- Clara Senchyshak at \$16.00/hour
- Jaylynn Welch at \$15.50/hour
- Quinn Willyerd at \$14.00/hour
- Dylan Yealy at \$15.50/hour

Seconded by Ms. Gazda.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Meyers motioned to approve the swim club's request for prorated billing of the chemical usage cost to \$1,300 for their use of the pool prior to pool opening day. Seconded by Mr. Castello.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Gazda stated Public Safety Day and Touch a Truck was well attended.

Library Liaison Report

Mr. Giudici motioned to approve allowing the Library to use of the Community Room on Friday, September 11, 2026 for the Library's Bingo event. Seconded by Ms. Meyers.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

All in favor

Motion carries

Mr. Giudici motioned to approve allowing the Library to use of the Community Room on Friday, October 9, 2026 to host a Trivia Fundraiser. Seconded by Ms. Meyers.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Mr. Giudici stated he would like to remind everyone that the library's summer reading program was still ongoing.

SHACOG

Mr. Seibel motioned to approve the proposed purchase of the Envirosite Camera Unit from A&H via SHACOG cost-sharing. (Estimated annual cost: \$4,426.30 for 5 years.) Seconded by Mr. Dalfonso.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Standing Committee Report – Administration

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to approve the request from Saint Raphael the Archangel Parish for a donation of a pavilion to be raffled at their Annual Summer Festival (festival will be held July 9, 10 and 11). Motioned by Mr. Castello, seconded by Ms. Sorcan.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to ratify Resolution 1124-26, authorizing the township's application to the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's Strategic Management Planning Program to assist with the completion of a Comprehensive Plan, with the Township providing a \$50,000 fund match. Motioned by Mr. Giudici, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

Mr. Castello stated, "I thought we did this already." Ms. Fitzgerald stated this is another application and they previously did not receive the grant.

All in favor

Motion carries (9-0)

Conferences and Workshops

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to ratify the Township Manager attending the 2026 ICMA Conference in Long Beach, not to exceed \$3,000. Motioned by Ms. Sedlak, seconded by Ms. Sorcan.

All in favor

6/23/26 AM

Motion carries (9-0)

Public Comments on Items Not Listed on the Agenda

There were no public comments on the agenda.

Commissioner's Request

Ms. Gazda stated, "I just want to wish everybody a safe July 4th."

Ms. Sedlak stated, "I hope everybody has a safe July 4th, and just a reminder, we are having fireworks."

Mr. Dalfonso stated, "just a thank you to everybody who was involved in Public Safety Day and Touch a Truck. It was a really nice day, very well attended, and thank you to everybody for volunteering."

Ms. Sorcan stated, "somebody asked me about Robinwood Drive. Is it going to be paved this year or not?" Ms. Hartman stated it would start in a few weeks, and they were still waiting on a confirmed schedule from Youngblood. Ms. Sorcan stated she would like to wish everyone a happy 4th of July.

Mr. Seibel stated, "kind of related to that, we heard a lot about communication tonight. I think we can all agree that we could probably do a little bit better. I know that with paving, we rely heavily on the contractor to post and notify, which is fine but some of these things should be posted on the website. When we get the paving schedule, could we put it out on Facebook or something, subject to change?"

Mr. Castello stated, "my annual pet peeve on advertising by Walmart and Lowe's, I was listening to 93.7 The Fan today, and they had this great long advertisement for Lowe's 'in Carnegie.' So, I always I always say, we're gonna let them call Carnegie when they need police if that's where they are. Don't bother us. In all reality, somebody should inform them they are in Scott, not in Carnegie." Mr. Giudici, Ms. Sedlak, and Ms. Altman stated Pure Barre, Walmart and Shop N' Save were other businesses in Scott that marketed themselves as being in other municipalities.

Ms. Meyers stated, "happy 4th of July, and I hope everybody comes to see our fireworks. We do have a new company doing it, and I think they're gonna do a good job."

Mr. Giudici stated he did not have anything to add.

Ms. Altman stated she did not have anything to add.

Motion to adjourn

Ms. Altman stated she needed a motion to adjourn the meeting.

Motioned by Ms. Meyers, seconded by Mr. Seibel.

All in favor

Meeting adjourned 8:53 PM.

An executive session regarding legal was conducted after the meeting.

Attest: _____

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "A. V. [unclear]", written over a horizontal line.